

Quantum Mechanics and Thermodynamics of Particles with Distance Dependent Statistics

Stefan V. Mashkevich

*N.N.Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics,
252143 Kiev, Ukraine*

Abstract

The general notion of distance dependent statistics in anyon-like systems is discussed. The two-body problem for such statistics is considered, the general formula for the second virial coefficient is derived and it is shown that in the limiting cases it reproduces the known results for ideal anyons.

In recent years, essential progress had been achieved in solving various problems of anyon quantum mechanics. Such problems arise in two space dimensions and consist in finding those solutions of a Schrödinger equation

$$\mathcal{H}\Psi = E\Psi \quad (1)$$

which satisfy the interchange conditions

$$\mathcal{P}_{jk}\Psi = \exp(i\pi\delta)\Psi \quad (2)$$

for each j, k , where $\mathcal{P}_{jk}$ is the operator of anticlockwise interchange of particles j, k . Up to now, many exact and approximate results have been obtained concerning the two-anyon [1, 2], three-anyon [3, 4, 5], four-anyon [6], N -anyon [7, 8] problems, and connection with fractional quantum Hall effect [9] and high- T_c superconductivity [10] was widely discussed.

It is, however, natural to put a question: Is the whole matter relevant to real life? Indeed, there is no doubt that bosons and fermions do exist in nature, but there is as well no doubt that particles with "intrinsic" anyonic statistics do not exist — at least because the real world is three-dimensional. It is only possible to "imitate" this statistics with interaction. One possibility (we do not argue it to be the only one) is the Chern-Simons gauge field model. If a conserved current j_μ is coupled to such field so that

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_G - j_\mu A^\mu \quad , \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_G = \frac{1}{2}\alpha\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}A_\mu\partial_\nu A_\lambda \quad , \quad (4)$$

then a single charge $j_\mu = e\delta_\mu^0\delta^2(\vec{r})$ gives rise to the vector potential with the only non-vanishing component

$$A_\phi(\vec{r}) = -\frac{\Delta}{er} \quad (5)$$

where $\Delta = \frac{e^2}{2\pi\alpha}$, $r = |\vec{r}|$. This is precisely the potential that figures in the Aharonov-Bohm effect and leads to the additional interchange phase $\delta = \Delta$. In three dimensions such picture is possible if the objects in question are stretched in one direction, i.e. if they are, for example, vortex-like excitations.

It has been shown that under certain conditions the Chern-Simons term can be generated as part of gauge field effective action [11]. In this way, anyons can arise in real physical models. From this point of view, we would like to investigate the case when the gauge field Lagrangian is not just the Chern-Simons term but rather the sum

$$\mathcal{L}_G = \mathcal{L}_0 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}A_\mu\partial_\nu A_\lambda \quad . \quad (6)$$

The most natural candidate for $\mathcal{L}_0$ is the Maxwell term $-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$, which can either be present in the theory from the very beginning or be generated as a quantum correction,

as mentioned in [12]. There may, however, be other terms — like, for example, $(\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2$ in Higgs-like models [13].

Let there again be a static charge in the origin. Solving the field equations corresponding to the Lagrangian (3),(6) yields $A_\mu(\vec{r})$ — the potential produced by this charge. If $\mathcal{L}_0$ does not contain time and angle ϕ explicitly, which we will assume to be the case, then this potential depends only on r . In Lorentz gauge, consequently, its radial component vanishes. Its temporal component is responsible for charge-charge interaction analogous to the Coulomb one. It has nothing to do with statistics, and in the present consideration we will not take it into account (assuming, for example, the values of the charges to be sufficiently small). There remains the angular component which is of main interest for us. Without any loss of generality we can write it as

$$A_\phi(r) = -\frac{\Delta(r)}{er} . \quad (7)$$

The function $\Delta(r)$ possesses clear physical sense: $\Phi(r) \equiv -\frac{2\pi\Delta(r)}{e}$ is the flux of the magnetic field created by the charge through the circle of radius r . Therefore in realistic cases it should be continuous and finite including the extreme values

$$\Delta_0 = \Delta(0) \quad , \quad \Delta_\infty = \Delta(\infty) . \quad (8)$$

For example, in Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory [12, 14] $\Delta(r) = \frac{e^2}{2\pi\alpha} \cdot [1 - \alpha r K_1(\alpha r)]$ so that $\Delta_0 = 0$ and $\Delta_\infty = \frac{e^2}{2\pi\alpha}$.

Consider now a quantum mechanical problem involving two charges. According to the general rules, the Hamiltonian of their relative motion is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 = \frac{p_r^2}{m} + \frac{[p_\phi + \Delta(r)]^2}{mr^2} + V(\vec{r}) \quad (9)$$

where $\vec{r}$ is the relative radius vector and $V(\vec{r})$ is the mechanical interaction potential. If V corresponds to a central force, the angular part of the relative wavefunction is separated as

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \chi \exp(i\ell\phi) \quad (10)$$

and the levels E_n^ℓ are determined from the family of equations of radial motion for partial waves

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2^\ell \chi = E_n^\ell \chi \quad (11)$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2^\ell = \frac{p_r^2}{m} + \frac{[\ell + \Delta(r)]^2}{mr^2} + V(r) . \quad (12)$$

Since the wave function behaves upon interchange as in (2) with $\delta = \ell \bmod 2$, ℓ has to be even (odd) integer for bosons (fermions).

In the pure Chern-Simons case $\Delta(r) \equiv \Delta = \text{const}$, the potential (5) is a pure gauge and can be annihilated by a gauge transformation. The transformed Hamiltonian is obtained from (9) by crossing out $\Delta(r)$ — so that for $V = 0$ it corresponds to non-interacting particles. Under the same transformation, the wave function changes so

that for the new one Eq.(2) takes place with $\delta = \Delta$ for bosons or $\delta = 1 + \Delta$ for fermions, and Eq.(11) for the radial part does not change. We will use the term "ideal anyons" for the particles whose wave function satisfies (2), and " δ -anyons" for ideal anyons with specific δ . In this way, bosons or fermions interacting via the potential (5) are completely equivalent to non-interacting Δ -anyons and $(\Delta+1)$ -anyons, respectively.

Thus, constant Δ determines the statistics of the particles. By analogy, one might speak of the general case (7),(9) as of the one of particles with *distance dependent statistics*. Indeed, the term itself should not be understood too literally. In the case under consideration, the problem cannot be reduced to the one of non-interacting particles as it can be for ideal anyons. If the particles are somehow put into such conditions that r can vary through a range in which $\Delta(r) \simeq \overline{\Delta}$, then they will behave like $\overline{\Delta}$ -anyons. (From now on we will assume the particles themselves to be bosons, unless otherwise specified.) For other range of r the "effective statistics" is other, and in this sense it "depends on distance". But if $\Delta(r)$ varies considerably in the actual range of r — which, generally speaking, is most likely to be the case — then the problem is not reduced to the one of ideal anyons and requires special consideration.

For the N -particle problem there is nothing principally new. The Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H}_N = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{(\vec{p}_j - e\vec{A}_j)^2}{2m} + V(\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_N) \quad (13)$$

where

$$\vec{A}_j = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N \vec{A}(\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_k) \quad , \quad (14)$$

$\vec{A}(\vec{r})$ being the vector potential produced by a single charge in the origin. For ideal anyons the $\vec{A}(\vec{r}_j)$'s can be eliminated by a gauge transformation, for distance depending statistics they cannot.

Generally speaking, a system characterized by the Hamiltonian (13) possesses three parameters of dimensionality of length: radius of the system R determined by the function V , its related average interparticle distance $\xi \sim R/\sqrt{N} \sim n^{-1/2}$, n being the number of particles per unit area, and characteristic radius of interaction d such that $\Delta(r)$ differs weakly from Δ_0 for $r \ll d$ and from Δ_∞ for $r \gg d$. For example, in Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory $d = \frac{1}{\alpha}$. The structure of the energy levels of the system depends on the interrelation between these parameters. If $d \gg R$, the system behaves like that of Δ_0 -anyons. In the opposite limiting case $d \ll \xi$, one might expect to have Δ_∞ -anyons. The latter, however, is in general not the case. Consider the problem of two particles connected by a non-extensible thread, i.e. the family of Hamiltonians (11) with

$$V(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \quad r \leq R \\ \infty & , \quad r > R \end{cases} . \quad (15)$$

The interparticle distance is bounded above by R and below by the centrifugal barrier. For $d \gg R$ all is clear — the particles "feel" only $\Delta(r)$ with $r \ll d$, that is, Δ_0 .

For $d \ll R$ the situation is not so simple. The values of energy for low-lying levels are of the order of $\frac{1}{mR^2}$, hence the ratio $\frac{r}{R}$ for the corresponding left classical turning points is not much less than unity, so $r \gg d$. In such states the particles feel only $\Delta(r) \simeq \Delta_\infty$ and therefore, say, at sufficiently low temperatures, indeed, behave like Δ_∞ -anyons. But for levels with energy $\frac{K}{mr^2}$ and $\ell \sim 1$ the turning point is at $r \sim \frac{R}{\sqrt{K}}$, and for sufficiently large K it may be $r \sim d$ or even $r \ll d$. This means that at high temperatures the particles may feel the whole range of $\Delta(r)$ and as a result not necessarily be like Δ_∞ -anyons. Note also that if for some ℓ $\Delta_0 = -\ell$ takes place, the centrifugal barrier may be absent for this ℓ (as it is absent for bosons for $\ell = 0$). This, generally speaking, makes the properties of the particles to be closer to those of bosons.

Thermodynamic properties of the system are influenced by one more parameter — thermal wavelength $\lambda = \sqrt{2\pi/mT}$; the behavior of the system depends on how large is λ compared to other distance scales. In this paper we will restrict ourselves to the simplest case of high temperatures, when $\lambda \ll \xi$. In this case one can apply the virial expansion of the equation of state which we will write as

$$\frac{p}{nT} = 1 + a_2 \lambda^2 n + a_3 \lambda^4 n^2 + \dots \quad (16)$$

To calculate the second virial coefficient a_2 it is sufficient to solve the two-particle problem. Using $\tilde{Z}_2[\Delta(r), R]$ to denote the two-particle relative partition function, one has in accordance with the general theory [15, 2]

$$a_2[\Delta(r)] = -\frac{1}{4} - 2 \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \tilde{Z}_2[\Delta(r), R] - \tilde{Z}_2^{Bose}[R] \right\} . \quad (17)$$

The exact expression for $\tilde{Z}_2$ is

$$\tilde{Z}_2[\Delta(r), R] = \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{Z}_2^\ell[\Delta(r), R] \quad (18)$$

where the summation is over even (odd) values of ℓ for bosons (fermions) and the partial wave contribution is

$$\tilde{Z}_2^\ell[\Delta(r), R] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp(-\beta E_n^\ell) , \quad (19)$$

E_n^ℓ being determined from Eq.(11). There is no common way to calculate the levels, but since we deal with high-temperature regime, the semiclassical method of Uhlenbeck and Beth [16] may be applied to the problem, as it was pointed out in [17]. In this method the angular motion is quantized (summation over partial waves is not replaced by integration) but the radial motion is considered classically. That is, the exact value (19) is replaced by

$$\tilde{Z}_2^\ell[\Delta(r), R] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_r \int_0^R dr \exp(-\beta \tilde{H}_2^\ell) . \quad (20)$$

Upon substituting (12) and integrating with respect to p_r we obtain

$$\tilde{Z}_2^\ell[\Delta(r), R] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\lambda} \int_0^R dr \exp \left\{ -\frac{[\ell + \Delta(r)]^2 \lambda^2}{2\pi r^2} \right\} . \quad (21)$$

For $\Delta(r) = \text{const}$ the r integration can be performed exactly. It is therefore convenient to rearrange the last equation using the formula

$$\int_0^z f(x, v(x)) dx = F(z, v(z)) - \int_0^z G(x, v(x)) v'(x) dx \quad (22)$$

where

$$F(z, y) = \int_0^z f(x, y) dx , \quad G(x, y) = \frac{\partial F(x, y)}{\partial y} . \quad (23)$$

Substituting the obtained expression in (17), we come eventually to the result

$$\begin{aligned} a_2[\Delta(r)] = & -\frac{1}{4} + \delta_\infty - \frac{1}{2}\delta_\infty^2 \\ & - \sum_{\substack{\ell=-\infty \\ \text{even}}}^{\infty} \int_0^\infty \text{erfc} \left[\frac{|\ell + \Delta(r)| \lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi} r} \right] \frac{d}{dr} |\ell + \Delta(r)| dr , \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $\delta_\infty = \Delta_\infty \bmod 2$, $\text{erfc}(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_z^\infty \exp(-x^2) dx$ and the particles themselves are bosons. The result for fermions is easily obtained by changing $\Delta(r) \rightarrow \Delta(r) + 1$. Note that Eq.(24) is invariant, as it should be, under the changes $\Delta(r) \rightarrow -\Delta(r)$ and $\Delta(r) \rightarrow -\Delta(r) + 2$. Therefore we will assume that $0 \leq \Delta_0 < 2$ and $\Delta_\infty > \Delta_0$.

For $\Delta(r) = \text{const}$ the last term vanishes, and one comes to the known exact quantum mechanical result [2] for the second virial coefficient [17]. It is the last term that is characteristic for distance dependent statistics and is subject to analysis. Its structure tells us that it depends essentially on the interrelation between λ and d . One may fix d and observe the behavior of this term with λ changing.

First, let $\lambda \gg d$. A considerable contribution to the integral is given only by such values of r for which the argument of erfc is not much more than unity. This can happen (a) for $r \gtrsim \lambda$, (b) in the vicinity of the point r_0 such that $\Delta(r_0) = -\ell$ of width of the order of $\frac{r_0}{\lambda|\Delta'(r_0)|}$ and (c) for $0 \leq r \lesssim \rho$ if in this region $|\ell + \Delta(r)|$ behaves like kr . In the case (a) the contribution is small because $r \gg d$ and $\frac{d}{dr}\Delta(r) \sim 0$. In the case (b) the width of the vicinity and consequently its contribution tends to zero with λ increasing. In the case (c) the contribution can be evaluated as $k\rho \cdot \text{erfc}\left(\frac{k\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)$, which again tends to zero as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Thus,

$$a_2[\Delta(r)] \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{4} + \delta_\infty - \frac{1}{2}\delta_\infty^2 , \quad (25)$$

i.e., in this limit we have Δ_∞ -anyons (or, which is the same, δ_∞ -anyons).

Now, let $\lambda \ll d$. At first, for simplicity we take $\delta_\infty < 2$. In the integral with $\ell = 0$ we replace erfc with unity, and the integral becomes $|\Delta_\infty| - |\Delta_0| = \delta_\infty - \delta_0$, where

$\delta_0 = \Delta_0 \bmod 2$. The remaining sum can be evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{\ell=-\infty \\ \text{even}}}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \left\{ \operatorname{erfc} \left[\frac{\ell + \Delta(r) \lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\lambda}{r} \right] - \operatorname{erfc} \left[\frac{\ell - \Delta(r) \lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\lambda}{r} \right] \right\} \frac{d}{dr} \Delta(r) dr \\
& \simeq - \int_0^{\infty} dr \cdot \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} d\ell \cdot 2 \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp \left[-\frac{\ell^2 \lambda^2}{2\pi r^2} \right] \frac{\Delta(r) \lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\lambda}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \Delta(r) \\
& = - \int_0^{\infty} \Delta(r) \frac{d}{dr} \Delta(r) dr = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_0^2 - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\infty}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \delta_0^2 - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\infty}^2 \quad , \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

and substitution in (24) yields

$$a_2 [\Delta(r)] \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} -\frac{1}{4} + \delta_0 - \frac{1}{2} \delta_0^2 \quad , \tag{27}$$

i.e., we have δ_0 -anyons. For $\Delta_{\infty} > 2$ the derivation is somewhat more complicated, the terms with $-\Delta_{\infty} < \ell \leq 0$ having to be considered separately, but an accurate analysis [18] shows that for $(\Delta_{\infty} - \Delta_0)\lambda/d \ll 1$ the result is still (27).

The results that we have obtained for the two limiting cases can be understood using simple qualitative considerations. It is known that at high temperatures the partition function of the Bose or Fermi gas in the first approximation coincides with that of the gas of classical particles interacting via an effective potential $v(r)$ which differs considerably from zero only for $r \lesssim \lambda$ [15]. In the classical language, the particles "feel themselves" bosons or fermions only when approaching each other for a distance of the order λ . The same situation takes place for ideal anyons; it is easy to show that the effective potential for them is given by

$$v(r) = -T \ln \left[1 + (1 - 2\delta^2) \exp \left(-\frac{2\pi r^2}{\lambda^2} \right) \right] \quad . \tag{28}$$

This means that in our case the "effective statistics" should be $\Delta(r)$ averaged in a way over the range of the width of the order λ but not ξ as one might naively assume. Thus, our particles should behave, roughly speaking, like δ_{eff} -anyons where δ_{eff} is a quantity of the order of $\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^{\lambda} \Delta(r) dr \right) \bmod 2$. This evidently tends to δ_0 for $\lambda \ll d$ and to δ_{∞} for $\lambda \gg d$.

In this paper we have developed the general notion of distance dependent statistics, which can manifest itself, in particular, in two-dimensional systems of particles coupled to a gauge field the Lagrangian of which contains, among others, the Chern-Simons term. We have considered a gas of such particles at high temperatures and obtained the general formula for the second virial coefficient, from which it is seen how the behaviour of the gas depends on temperature and what are the limiting cases in which we effectively have ideal anyons. A more detailed consideration will be presented elsewhere [18].

I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. G.M.Zinovjev for useful advice and support and to E.Gorbar for fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] J.M.Leinaas, J.Myrheim, *Nuovo Cim.* **37B**, 1 (1977); F.Wilczek, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **48**, 957 (1982).
- [2] D.P.Arovas, J.R.Schrieffer, F.Wilczek, A.Zee, *Nucl.Phys.* **B251**, 117 (1985).
- [3] Y.-S.Wu, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **53**, 111 (1984); D.Sen, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **68**, 2977 (1992); G.V.Dunne, A.Lerda, S.Sciuto, C.A.Trugenberger, *Phys.Lett.* **B277**, 474 (1992); S.V.Mashkevich, *Phys.Lett.* **B295**, 233 (1992); S.V.Mashkevich, preprint ITP-93-32E, Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kiev (1993).
- [4] M.Sporre, J.J.M.Verbaarschot, I.Zahed, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **67**, 1813 (1991); M.V.N.Murthy, J.Law, M.Brack, R.K.Bhaduri, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **67**, 1817 (1991); *Phys.Rev.* **B45**, 4289 (1992).
- [5] A.Khare, J.McCabe, *Phys.Lett.* **B269**, 330 (1991); J.McCabe, S.Ouvry, *Phys.Lett.* **B260**, 113 (1991); M.Sporre, J.J.M.Verbaarschot, I.Zahed, *Nucl.Phys.* **B389**, 645 (1993); C.Chou, L.Hua, G.Amelino-Camelia, *Phys.Lett.* **B286**, 329 (1992); S.A.Chin, C.-R.Hu, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **69**, 229 (1992).
- [6] M.Sporre, J.J.M.Verbaarschot, I.Zahed, *Phys.Rev.* **B46**, 5738 (1992); G.Amelino-Camelia, *Phys.Lett.* **B299**, 83 (1993).
- [7] C.Chou, *Phys.Rev.* **D44**, 2533 (1991); Erratum: *Phys.Rev.* **D45**, 1433 (1992); G.Dunne, A.Lerda, S.Sciuto, C.A.Trugenberger, *Nucl.Phys.* **B370**, 601 (1992); S.V.Mashkevich, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A7**, 7931 (1992).
- [8] A.Comtet, J.McCabe, S.Ouvry, *Phys.Lett.* **B260**, 372 (1991); A.Khare, J.McCabe, S.Ouvry, *Phys.Rev.* **D46**, 2714 (1992).
- [9] R.Laughlin, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **50**, 1953 (1983); F.Haldane, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **51**, 605 (1983); B.Halperin, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **52**, 1583 (1984).
- [10] R.Laughlin, *Science* **242**, 525 (1988); R.Laughlin, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **60**, 2677 (1988); A.Fetter, C.Hanna, R.Laughlin, *Phys.Rev.* **B39**, 9679 (1989); Y.-H.Chen, F.Wilczek, E.Witten, B.I.Halperin, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **B3**, 1001 (1989).
- [11] A.N.Redlich, *Phys.Rev.* **D29**, 2366 (1984); G.W.Semenoff, P.Sodano, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **57**, 1195 (1986); A.Coste, M.Lüscher, preprint DESY 89-017 (1989); O.A.Borisenko, V.K.Petrov, G.M.Zinovjev, preprint ITP-92-8E, Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kiev (1992).
- [12] K.Shizuya, H.Tamura, *Phys.Lett.* **B252**, 412 (1990).
- [13] X.C.Wen, A.Zee, preprint NSF-ITP-88-114 (1988).

- [14] S.V.Mashkevich, H.Sato, G.M.Zinovjev, preprint BI-TP 92/48, Bielefeld University (1992).
- [15] K.Huang, *Statistical Mechanics*, John Wiley & Sons, New York- London (1963).
- [16] G.E.Uhlenbeck, E.Beth, *Physica* (Utrecht) **3**, 729 (1936).
- [17] R.K.Bhaduri, R.S.Bhalerao, A.Khare, J.Law, M.V.N.Murthy, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **66**, 523 (1991).
- [18] S.V.Mashkevich (in preparation).